

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/942,333		08/29/2001	Christopher M. Angelucci	8932-546 7696		
20583	7590	08/06/2003				
PENNIE A	ND EDM	IONDS	EXAMINER			
1155 AVEN NEW YOR		HE AMERICAS 00362711		ROBERT, EDUARDO C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3732		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
•		09/942,333	•	ANGELUCCI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Eduardo C. Rob	ert	3732					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mil will apply and will expire to cause the application to the second seco	ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
·	,	— · nis action is non-f	inal						
2a)□	,—			rosecution as to the me	rite ie				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
·	Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application	1.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	nent						
Applicati	on Papers								
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.							
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		€				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional appl	ication).				
a 15)⊟ <i>i</i>	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional applicat tic priority under	ion has been red 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ceived. D and/or 121.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
L U.S. Patent and T	rademark Office			Dort of Donor No. 5					

Application/Control Number: 09/942,333

Art Unit: 3732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-44, drawn to an implant, classified in class 623, subclass 17.16.
- II. Claims 45-47, drawn to a method for providing a desired space in the spinal canal, classified in class 623, subclass 17.11.
- III. Claims 48-53, drawn to a method for providing a desired distance between first and second cut bone ends of the spine, classified in class 623/17.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practice with an implant formed from another biocompatible material different from allograft material.

Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be

Art Unit: 3732

practice with an implant formed from another biocompatible material different from allograft material.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for some Groups are not required for other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Figures 1A-1C
- II. Figures 4A-4C
- III. Figures 5A-5C
- IV. Figures 8A-8C
- V. Figures 10A-10C
- VI. Figures 11A-11C
- VII. Figure 12A
- VIII. Figure 12B

Application/Control Number: 09/942,333

Art Unit: 3732

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Page 5

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eduardo C. Robert whose telephone number is 703-305-7333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on 703-308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Eduardo C. Robert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

E.C. Robert August 4, 2003